

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/829,227	04/22/2004	Satoshi Muramatsu	252051US3 CONT	7127	
22850 7	590 06/08/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			GLEITZ, RYAN M		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2852		

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/829,227	MURAMATSU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ryan Gleitz	2852		

	Ryan Gleitz		2852	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cove	r sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 31 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI			•	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day a wing replies: (1) a tice of Appeal (w	s filing a Notice of an amendment, aff vith appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final r	ejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1	ater than SIX MON (b). ONLY CHECK 06.07(f).	THS from the mailin BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the co shortened statutory r than three months	rresponding amount	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37	7 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the d	ate of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecanse
<ul> <li>(a)    ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ul>	nsideration and/ow);	or search (see NO	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appe	eal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding n	umber of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	-			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1			ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submi		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the discription of the sufficient reasons.	e date of filing a N ons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> reje	ections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status o	f the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ned.
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ıt does NOT plad	ce the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or F	PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s). <u>05/25/2005</u>	
				•

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly presented claim limitations "completely" in claims 27 and 28 and "a tapered portion and said mouth member is provided at a tip of said tapered portion" in claims 31 and 32 will require further search and consideration..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 9, 17, 29, and 30 are not persuasive. Applicant submits that Saito does not disclose a mouth member having an inlet in the direction of gravity and points to tube 82 as a mouth member. However, as descried in the Office Action mail 30 March 2005, the vertical opening of hopper 81 is a developer inlet to mouth member 82, as shown by figure 9 in the Saito reference. The inlet is the cross section just above screw 83 near the horizontal arrow drawn in figure 9.

Arthur T. Grimley
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800